

Jun 30, 2023

Michael Horowitz
Office of the Inspector General
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Ave NW
Washington D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Horowitz,

I am writing to alert you to a clandestine program that is a profligate waste of taxpayer dollars to say nothing of its unconstitutionality and goal of bypassing the criminal justice system. It involves the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) performing virtually undetectable, warrantless surveillance of drug users and using this information to perform nonconsensual, punishing medical interventions on those users when drugs are used. Although apparently an attempt to discourage drug use, it also doubles as a method of developing and testing surveillance and medical technologies with a reduced chance of detection or investigation. Details of the how, what, why, and dangerous implications of this program are contained in the attached document entitled "Non-consensual Experimentation on Drug Users".

Clearly, there is something seriously wrong somewhere that demands equally serious attention and investigation. It is inconceivable that this program could have gotten to this point without a lack of effective oversight and accountability, as is obvious. This lack may derive from leeway given these people over a period of time, given the clear advantages inherent in obtaining detailed information without regard for the Fourth Amendment - in essence, the end justifying the means.

As might be expected, great effort has been expended to ensure that this program is kept under wraps and to prevent hard evidence of its existence being adduced by its targets. Besides the inability of drug users to contact authorities without risking arrest, the immense funding and personnel imbalance effectively preclude credible complaints by these targets. For these reasons, among others, only an internal investigation can reveal the existence and extent of this program. I would think that this matter would fall squarely in the purview of the Office of the Inspector General.

I am going to presume that the biggest hurdle being faced regarding this complaint is whether or not it can be taken seriously. My bet would be you receive a large number of requests, many specious, and need to sort the wheat from the chaff. This effort undoubtably includes assessing the mental competence of the individuals making the allegations and the plausibility of conclusory statements made.

It is true that many of the allegations being proffered here are of the type made by people with serious mental illness. However, it would be a mistake to conclude that this means that all such allegations are spurious, and throw out a baby with the bathwater. As described in the

accompanying document, one facet of the strategy to avoid investigation is to impugn the mental competence of the individual making the allegation, attributing it to drug use or mental illness. However, in this situation the use of drugs by the complainant cannot be avoided as it is inextricably integrated with the goal of the program itself.

Given these circumstances, one approach would be to start small, and attempt to verify certain specific claims. This would build confidence that there is something behind the claims, and could be done without a large allocation of resources. Over the many years that this has been occurring, I have managed to collect a number of specific leads and observations that could be pursued. It should not be difficult to obtain corroborating information, especially in situations where **18 USC 1001** applies, as well as from other Federal agencies such as the FAA as pertains to the use of Unmanned Airborne Vehicles (UAVs) in this endeavor.

I would also bring to your attention the existence of a number of groups of people self-proclaimed as “Targeted Individuals” (TIs) from which I would like to distance myself. I have been in contact with some of these groups, and while there may be a few individuals therein that are also experimental subjects of this program, by and large they are people that are mentally ill, or simply looking to blame something for their various maladies. The claims they make are beyond the realm of known science and technology, and mainly speculative. These groups have been infiltrated if not instigated by the perpetrators of the program exposed here, in an effort to divert attention from the *bona fide* surveillance and experimentation being performed. Close examination of their claims by experts would reveal the impossibility of the claims, and in this way the baby would again be thrown out with the bathwater.

One last issue I would like to comment on is the question “Why me?” After all, I’m not a drug kingpin, I’m not a member of organized crime, and I don’t know any state secrets – I’m a nobody in the larger scheme of things. The short answer is that I don’t know; however, this would probably be the response given by many people previously targeted in non-consensual programs in the past, of which there is a long and sordid history in the United States. And, nobodies are less likely to elicit investigation than somebodies.

But there is one domain I do know much more about than most, and that is that this type of high-tech surveillance is occurring, and I have a good idea of how it is being performed. The perpetrators comprise a large number of highly skilled and intelligent operatives in a program developed and refined over a long period of time, with a substantial budget and big payroll, that can obtain and deliver valuable information to many agencies. Undoubtedly they want to keep it secret and functional.

Very early on, due to my curiosity, chance, and/or errors by the perpetrators of the program I detected signs of various robotic devices in my house and UAVs flying around it as well as subtle but definite dim illumination in what should be dark rooms. As the perpetrators knew I was suspicious, they mounted a serious effort to reduce my credibility by performing more and more extreme dirty tricks, image projections, and other interventions in the hopes that I would report these to authorities and increase the probability that I would be diagnosed as mentally

ill. Although initially naive, having been trained as a research scientist I made a serious effort to understand how all these things were being done. This cycle escalated and snowballed as I gradually managed to sort out what were diversions, hoaxes, and disinformation campaigns from what was really happening and is consistent with known science and technology.

In any case, I look forward to hearing from you and thank you for your time, attention, and assistance in this matter. If you could be so kind as to acknowledge receipt of these documents it would help allay my fears that any interference has occurred during this attempt to communicate with you. I remain

Sincerely,

Jonathan C. Hansen, PhD